G R S App F IX 4]

Previous References

Mk 17-33 - 53, 54

Later References

1941

(Mc)

File No 9 - 41

Serial Nos 36, 37, 56, 57, 59, 60

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

1

Part B for Mk 41

SUBJECT

Making the Toll Establishment of Srirangapatnam Municipality

To,

The D C Mandya

The Comptroller

L 4382-3 dt 27.10.41.

Sanctioning the proposal

Mk 3/11

Subject: Re-appointment of establishment as permanent

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No of 194 [App F III-2

Serial No.

From The D.C. Nawanagar
No 756/40-1-8 dt 4.4.1942, regrets
inability to make the Jetpur
establishment temporary and recommends
permanency

1) Submitted with regrets. The continuance
of the establishment since 1934, which date
is highly irregular

2) The Nazir's report is not found
in the records.

3) The recommendation of the D.C.
to make the establishment permanent from
17.4.1934, is not acceptable

Subs 4) May the Nazir's explanation
Sen. 6 be called for on this point urgently

3/5 5) Enquiry may be made as to
how there are not 9 items, 21 units 10-
8 & units 9/-) while receipt in the
file dated 17.4.1934, 10 items (2 as
Rs 10/- & 8 units 9)) were sanctioned.
How many toll gates are there under
the necessity to sanction such a large
number of items?
Is the toll being collected by departmental
agency all these years?
Is there a departmental establishment for
the purpose of collection? If so, what is its
strength? What is the income from toll
for last 8 years?

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Serial No

What is the explanation of the Presidency Chief Officers concerned for continuing the establishment unauthorisedly?

Sm
4/5/42

6) Papers with the letter noted.
Sm
6/5/42.

For perusal before me
Lawrence / Sm
6/5/42

SEOY LAW DEPT
3994
7 MAY 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Hm
9/5/42

No. L. 10827/9 12.5.42 — Dsthe for 9/5/42
Calls for certain explanation.
Sm
D. C. Mandya

Sm.

From
The Deputy Commr, Mandya Distt 56
Letter No A.5-756/40-41 dated 24th July 1942
Forwards a copy of the report received from the President, Seringapatam Mnl. C and adds that the explanation for having continued the toll establishment is not acceptable and that the omission may be overlooked and the toll establishment may be sanctioned permanently
Refer paras 5-7 supra
The recommendation of the Dy Commr to treat the toll establishment as permanent from 17-4-34 is for Consideration

Toll establishment of Srirangapatna Municipality

6:324

681/2

General and Revenue Secretariat — 2nd File No. 9 of 194/ — [App. F III-2

Serial No.	
	and orders
	1) Pl furnish the Manager of the Srirangapatna Municipality with the following particulars. What is the proposed number for this establishment during the year? How many section heads are there in the office? How many clerks are there in the office? What are the duties of different persons in the office? Pl enquire.
	A copy of the G O sanctioning the budget estimates for 42-43 is put up. Against a provision of Rs 1080/- proposed by the Municipal Council, for the toll establishment, Rs. 892 has been sanctioned. A draft calling for information in regard to the points is put up
	3) Draft
	4) Issued
	5) Recd 20-8-42
	6) Issued 20/8/42
37	To the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya Dist. Letter No C 2262 dated 26th Aug 1942. Calls for further information on the toll octroi duties and the income from octroi

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

SECT. 1942 NO 2 GOVT OF MYSORE

WD[2]155—GPB—55,000—23-10-41

Making Toll Establishment at Seringapatam Town Municipality permanent

File No. 9 of 1941 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No 59

From The Deputy Commissioner Mandya District

Letter No A5 756/40-41 dated 30th Septr 1941

Forwards a copy of the report from the President of the Seringapatam Town Municipality furnishing the information called for and requests sanction in the circumstances to continue the Toll Establishment on a permanent basis

Refer paras 10–14 Supra

It is reported that there are three octroi Katles in the Seringapatam Town Municipality and the income derived from these Katles for the last 5 years is also furnished (Vide Pl 4) These Katles ~~Toll Centres~~ are stated to be quite different from Toll Roads and situated in different places and consequently toll collection would cannot be entrusted to the Octroi Establishment.

Submitted for orders

9/6/40 per CS 9/10

The Toll is collected departmentally in the Seringapatam Municipality. An establishment of one peon with 12 and 8 peons on Rs 9/- to Rs 6/- is employed for this work on a temporary basis and the establishment is being continued without

R. making Town establishment of Bangalore
Cantonment

Serial No

obtaining previous sanction for each year from 1934, on the analogy of the Budget provision made on this behalf from year to year. The present proposal is to make this establishment permanent from the above date. This is recommended by the Dist Magistrate also in the case the proposal may be sanctioned

Labour Member

SECY LAW DEPT. 28 2 GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L.S.G & P.H. Date 7.10.42 No. 2857

1) May be sanctioned
Member L.S.G

13.10.42

14-10-42

2) Submitted with draft

28/10/42

Home Member

To be Dy Secy. Monday

Secretary

S.O. No 4352-3 dt 29-10-42

Sanctioned

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

28/10/42

WD 04155—GTB—85,000—22-10-41

File No. of 194 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

6533

No.A.5.756/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District
Dated, Mandya, the 24th April 1942.

From

C.M.H. Ranajodha Singh Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

To

H. V. Narayana Rao Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:-

Making the Toll Establishment of Seringapatam Municipality permanent.

I have the honor to state that as per G.O.No.L.7474-5/Ml.17-33-54 dated 17-4-1934, the Toll Establishment of Seringapatam Municipality was sanctioned for the year 1933-34, without obtaining sanction of Government for its continuance, it has been continued up to this day. While the Assistant Comptroller audited the accounts of the Municipality for the year 1938-39 he took objection for the continuance of the establishment without Government sanction Now the President in his report No.H C 152/39 dated 20-10-41 recommends for obtaining Government Order for making the establishment permanent either from 25-12-33 or from 17-4-34.

In these circumstances I recommend for obtaining Government sanction to treat the Toll Establishment of Seringapatam Municipality from 17-4-1934 as permanent.

The proposition statement and a copy of the audit objections are enclosed for kind perusal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

T.M.C

Extract of the ordinary meeting proceedings of Seringapatam – Town Municipal Council held on 30-9-40

x x x x x x x x x x x

36 [illegible] 27/28-8-40 [illegible] 756/40-41 [illegible].

x x x x x x x x x x x

"Extract"

[illegible signature]
Vice President

Sd/- M. K. Appajappa
President

Extract of the Audit note of Srirangapalam Town municipal Council of 1938-39

x x x x x x x x x x x x

24(6) The Acquittance roll shows that the bill establishment is temporary The G O No L 1474-5 Rd 17-33-54 dated 17.4.34 quoted in the Scale register was not forthcoming when Called for (Objection 16) of the establishment as temporary, Why the sanction is not being renewed by the Council every year may please be stated

x x x x x x x x x x x x

'Extract-"
Asst Commissioner
President

Sd/- T Venkatarangan
Asst-Comptroller

[No 8]

Statement showing the details of proposition for revision of Toll Establishment of Saingapalem Town-ship Municipality

Saingapalem Town Municipality

Proceedings of Municipal Council		Office in which the proposition refers	Nature of change								Proposed Temporary			Proposed Permanent		Remarks
			Present Scale				Proposed Scale									
			Designation	Pay			Designation	Pay			Designation	Number	Pay	Designation	Pay	
				Minimum	Increment	Maximum		Minimum	Increment	Maximum						
Proceedings - Establishment	Number	Toll Establishment - Municipal office Saingapalem (Temporary)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Date		-	10	1	10	-	10	1	10	-	-	-	-	-	
	17-4-1934		-	9	-	9	-	9	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	
			Average cost Rs 84/- per month				Average cost Rs 84/- per month									
Proceedings of Municipality																
Proceedings No 1474-5 Dt 17-12-54 Dt 17-4-34																

Chairman/Vice Chairman
Executive Officer

No and date of Communal Resolution -

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No L 1082 / 9 M 1-37

Dated Bangalore, 12 May 1942.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, Mandya.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.A5.756/40-41 dated 25th April 1942, requesting sanction to place the toll establishment of the Srirangapatam Municipality on a permanent basis, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points:-

1. How there are only 9 peons (1 on Rs.10/- and 8 on Rs.9/-), while according to the Government Order No.L.7474-5/M1.17-33-54 dated 17th April 1934, 10 peons (2 on Rs.10/- and 8 on Rs.9/-) were sanctioned?
2. How many Toll Gates are there in the Town and what is the necessity to continue such a large number of peons ?
3. Is the Toll being collected by Departmental Agency all these years ?
4. Is there a separate establishment for the octroi collection also and if so what is its strength? Whether the establishment cannot be made use of for collecting tolls as well ?
5. What is the income from tolls for the last 8 years ?
6. and what is the explanation of the Presidents and the Chief Officers concerned for having continued the establishment unauthorisedly for over 8 years.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

mk.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section MU

File No ~~[illegible]~~ 9-41

Serial No 37

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 10827

To

The Dy. Comm
Mandya
Mandya

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 17/5/42

Signed by H. S. R. Rao

Sir,

Adverting to your
No. B3 356/404 of 25. 4. 42,
requesting sanction to make a
~~temporary~~ ~~post~~ ~~and~~ ~~add~~ establish-
ment of the Sampagaon ~~rely~~ on a
permanent basis, I am directed
to request you to furnish
information on the following points
1) how there are only 9 peons
(one @ 10/- and 8 one @ 9/-), while
according to the Sir. No. L 1404 of
No 17-33-42 of 17.4.42, 16 peons

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

P T O

(2 annas 6 p. and 3 annas 9 p.) were

launched?.

2. How many dog cats are there in the town and what is the necessity to maintain such a large number of them?

3. Is the fee being collected by departmental agency all those years?

4. Is there a separate establishment for the cattle Collection and if so what is its strength? whether this establishment can be made use of for collecting rats during plague?

5. What is the income from this in the last 4 years?

6) and what is the explanation of the Municipality and the City Officers concerned for maintaining the establishment unauthorisedly for 4 years?

Thanking you

Yours
9/5/46

for the Commissioner
9/5/46

No.A.5.758/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District
Dated, Mandya, the 24th July 1942.

From

C.M.H. Ranajodha Singh Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

To

C. Narayanaswami Naidu Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Subject.-

Making the toll establishment of Seringapatam Municipality permanent.

With reference to your letter No.L.10827/M1.9-41-37 dated 12th May 42 calling for certain particulars on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the report received from the President, Seringapatam Municipal Council in the matter and to state as follows -

Owing to meagre finances the full number of hands sanctioned in Govt Order of 1934 was not entertained There are 3 toll-gates and it is reported by the President that the number of peons proposed is quite necessary and that the octroi peons cannot be entrusted with the collection of toll as their sphere of work is different.

As regards the authority on which the establishment was being continued for the last 8 years the President explains that since the Government had sanctioned the establishment in 1934, the same was continued year after year on the understanding that the provision in the budget for that item each year was being sanctioned This explanation is hardly acceptable At this distance of time, it is perhaps difficult to explain why Government sanction was not obtained for the continuance all these years

I therefore request that the omission to

obtain sanction of Government so far may kindly be overlooked and sanction of Government obtained to the proposal of the Municipal Council to treat the establishment as permanent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

T.M.C

No.H.C.152/39-40

Office of the President, Town Municipal Council, Seringapatam
Dated, Seringapatam, 13th July 1942.

Subject:-

Memo No.A.5.156/40-41 dated 20th May 1942 calling for replies on the points mentioned below in the matter of obtaining sanction of Government to place the toll establishment of the Seringapatam Municipality on a permanent basis etc.

SUBMITTED.

How thereare only 9 peons (one on Rs.10/- and 8 on Rs.9/-) while according to G.O No.L.7474-5/Ml. 17-33-54 dated 17th April 1934, 10 peons) 2 on Rs.10/- and 8 on Rs.9/-) were sanctioned,	ONE PEON WAS NOT ENTERTAINED IN VIEW OF THE LOW FINANCES OF THIS MUNICIPALITY.
2. How many toll-gates are there in the Town and what is the necessity to continue such a large number of peons	There are three toll-gates with the Head Office Number of peons as sanctioned in the Government Order is absolutely necessary.
3. Is the toll being collected by departmental agency all these years	Yes It is being collected by departmental agency.
4. Is there a separate establishment for the octroi collection and if so what is its strength? Whether the establishment cannot be made use of for collecting tolls as well	There is separate establishment for the octroi collection consisting of 6 peons (1 on Rs.10/- 5 on Rs 9/-) It is not possible to entrust the collection of toll fee as they are engaged in octroi collection work.

5. What is the income from tolls for the last 8 years.

34-35	Income under toll	3703-13-0
35-36	do	3314-13-0
36-37	do	2550- 2-0
37-38	do	2755- 8-0
38-39	do	2385- 4-6
39-40	do	1191-13-6
40-41	do	1953- 5-6
41-42	do	2554- 4-6

6. What is the explanation of the President and the Chief Officer concerned for having continued the establishment unauthorisedly for over 8 years

In the Government Order of 1934, sanction was accordingly accorded by for the entertainment Accordingly due provision for the expenditure on account of this establishment was being made in the periodical budgets and sanction from the authorities concerned was being obtained from year to year The employment of this staff is thoroughly necessary to carry on with the work.

Sd. — for President

'COPY'

T.M.C

Deputy Commissioner
Mandya District.

25/7/42

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

ction Rel

File No 9-47

Serial No 57

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 2262

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

The Deputy Commr

Mandya Distt

Date of issue 26/8/42

Signed by Mr G R Rao

Subj making the toll establishment of Seringapatam Town Municipality permanent—

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A 5-4756/40-41 dated 24th July 1942, on the above subject, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points —

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

(1) How many octroi Katties are there on the Municipality?

(2) What is the income from octroi for the last five years?

(3) Are these octroi Katties different from those of the Toll Gates? If so, the reasons why cannot the Toll Gates be entirely done away with? Will not this establishment also be necessary for collecting the taxes, having regard to the financial condition of the City?

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Dayanand Saraswati

2116/2

No.A5 756/40-41.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Mandya, Dated, the 30th Sep 1942

ML 9-41—59

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, MANDYA

To

C Narayanaswamynaidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject - Making Toll Establishment of Seringapatam Town Municipality, permanent
-,0 -

With reference to your letter No L 2262/ML 9 41 57 dated 26-8-42 calling for further particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of the report No H C 42/42-43 dated 12-9-42 received from the President, Seringapatam Town Municipality furnishing full particulars on all the three points referred to

2 The President explains that as the Toll-Gates and Octroi Kattes are situated in different places the services of the Octroi peons cannot be utilised for collection of tolls and that therefore the Toll Establishment may be made permanent as already recommended by the Council

3 In the circumstances stated by the President, I request that as already recommended in this office Letter dated 24-7-42 the Toll Establishment may be sanctioned on a permanent basis

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

DSR

Report No H.C 42/42-43 dated 12-9-42 from the President Town Municipal Council, Seringapatam to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District

--o--

With reference to your memo No A5 756/40-41 dated 3-9-42, calling for certain particulars relating to Octroi Kattes and Toll Establishment etc , I have the honour to state as follows -

1 There are three octroi Kattes Viz a) Gowri Katte, b)Paschimavahini c) Head Office Katte

2 The income derived from these kattes for the past five years is as follows -

1937-38	1938-39	1939-40	1940-41	1941-42
Rs 5014-11-1	Rs 4964-1-8	4767-0-8	4723-0-2	5958-15-1

3 The octroi kattes are quite different from the Toll Ookadas There are two separate and independent entrances to the Seringapatam and one entrance to Ganjam and for each of the ookadas, two keepers have been posted The toll-collection work cannot therefore be entrusted to the Octroi Establishment

I therefore request you to kindly obtain necessary sanction of Government to the proposal already submitted in the matter of making the toll-establishment permanent

---

" C o p y "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

G R S App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Alle

Diary No of letter replied to 2116/2

Section Jud

File No 9-41

Serial No. 60

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 23/10/1942.

Signed by Mr. K M N

May this be

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Read ~~the~~ ~~letter~~ ~~No~~ ~~dated~~ ~~the~~ ~~April~~ ~~1936~~ ~~from~~ ~~the~~ ~~Commissioner~~ ~~for~~ ~~Municipal~~ ~~Administration~~ ~~in~~ ~~Bangalore~~ ~~forwarding~~ ~~the~~ ~~statement~~ ~~of~~ ~~two~~ ~~persons~~ ~~on~~ ~~Rs~~ ~~10/-~~ ~~and~~ ~~8~~ ~~persons~~ ~~on~~ ~~Rs~~ ~~9/-~~ ~~each~~ ~~proposed~~ ~~for~~ ~~the~~ ~~year~~ ~~1933-36~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~Servants~~ ~~of~~ ~~Palace~~ ~~Municipality~~ ~~for~~ ~~work~~ ~~in~~ ~~connection~~ ~~with~~ ~~the~~ ~~collection~~ ~~of~~ ~~tolls~~ ~~&~~ ~~correspondence~~ ~~ending~~ ~~with~~ ~~letter~~ ~~No~~ ~~A~~ ~~S~~ ~~758/40-41~~ ~~dated~~ ~~30th~~ ~~September~~ ~~1942~~ ~~from~~ ~~the~~ ~~Dy~~ ~~Comm~~ ~~Mandya~~ ~~and~~ ~~requesting~~ ~~sanction~~ ~~to~~ ~~create~~ ~~the~~

Order thereon—No

eath referred to in
g.o read above as
permanent from 1st
April 1934

order No 6 L 4382-3 dt 27.10.34 -

is stated

by the precedent. Strongly [illegible] Town
Hall, owing to its meagre
finances, only a token amount,
and one peon as 6/- each to
were entertained and that
for the collection of tolls
this establishment was being
temporarily
continued, without [illegible]
of Govt, but it could not be
by Govt in 1934, and that
provision in the budget for
more annual
this item was not [illegible]
from the year 1934

List of Enclosure

Dy Coll. Munsif
requests that
to obtain the sanction of Govt
may be over looked and
sanction obtained to the
proposal of the Municipal
Council to [illegible]

Copied by

Examined by

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

established as permanent from 17th April 1934

In the circumstances explained, Govt are pleased to have chosen the above proposal as recommended by him by Comr Bombay and for in Sc Leave Rules by Comr Bombay and Comptroller

[illegible]
16/10/42

GK

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

READ:-

Government Order No.L.7474-5/Ml.17-33-54 dated 17th April 1934 sanctioning the appointment of two peons on Rs.10/- and of ~~Number~~ 8 peons on Rs.9/- each per mensem for the year 1933-34 in the Seringapatam Town Municipality for work in connection with the collection of tolls.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.A5-756/40-41 dated 30th September 1942 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, requesting sanction to ~~certain~~ establishment referred to in the Government Order read above as permanent from 17th April 1934.

ORDER NO: L 4382-3/Ml 9-41-60

Dated, Bangalore, the 27th October 1942.

It is stated ~~kkm~~ by the President, Seringapatam Town Municipality that, owing to the meagre finances, only 8 peons on Rs.9 and one peon on Rs.10 each per mensem were entertained for the collection of tolls and that this establishment was being continued temporarily without obtaining further sanction thereto on the strength of the provision made in the annual budget for this Municipality/this item ~~for~~ from the year 1934. The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, requests that the omission to obtain the sanction of Government may be overlooked and sanction obtained to the proposal of the Municipal Council to treat the said establishment as permanent from 17th April 1934.

In the circumstances explained, Government are pleased to sanction the above proposal, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

K.H. Narasimha Rao

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.
" Comptroller to Government.

SR/3